## **Distributed Operating Systems**

Name no more precise →
Interesting/advanced Topics in Operating Systems

- scalability
- systems security
- modeling

Some overlap with "Distributed Systems" (Prof Schill)

In some cases no written material.

Several lectures presented by research-group members.

Strongly requested: register for mailing list

Questions: mail to mailing list

# Distributed OS Hermann Härtig

## Scalability in Computer Systems DNS/BIND as a first case study



### **Outline and Goal of Lectures on Scalability**

#### **Outline:**

- scalability: terminology, problems
- basic approaches
- case studies

#### **Goal:**

 understand some of the important principles how to build scalable systems

## **Outline and Goal of today's Lecture**

#### **Outline:**

- scalability ...
- names in Distributed Systems: purposes of naming, terminology
- application of scalability approaches on name resolution

#### **Goal:**

- understand some of the important principles how to build scalable systems
- ...using DNS as an example

#### **More Case Studies**

- memory consistency
- locks
- advanced synchronization (Paul Mc Kenney)
- file systems
- load balancing (Mosix) and HPC

## **General Definition: Scalability**

#### **Scalability:**

 the ease with which a system or component can be modified to fit the problem area http://www.sei.cmu.edu/str/indexes/glossary/

#### **Dimensions of Scalability:**

- size (more CPUs)
- other resources (memory)
- software (versions, better libs, etc.)
- heterogeneity (different hardware / SW = portability)

## More specific: Scalability in Computer Systems

- A system is described as scalable if it remains effective when there is a significant increase in the number of resources and the number of users.
   (Coulouris, Dollimore, Kindberg: Distributed Systems)
- Scalability [in telecommunication and software engineering] indicates the capability of a system to increase performance under an increased load when resources (typically hardware) are added (Wikipedia)

## Scaling down

 a system is scalable if it works well for very large and very small numbers

#### Definition(Wang, Xu 98):

A computer system (HW + SW) is called *scalable* if it can scale up (improve its resources) to accommodate ever increasing performance and functionality demand and / or scale down (decrease resources) to reduce cost.

SS 2016

## A SW engineering aspect of scalability

Not subject of the course Prepare for change in functionality

- software engineering
- choose sufficiently large logical resources
- provide hooks for extension

## Problems for Scalability in Distrib./Par. Systems

performance bottlenecks / Amdahl's Law

failures / abuse

administration

#### **Amdahl's Law**

- f: fraction of computation that can be enhanced
- Speedup: original execution time enhanced execution time
- S: speedup factor for f

• Speedup(f,S) = 
$$\frac{1}{\left(1-f+\frac{f}{S}\right)}$$

## **Consequences: Amdahl's Law**

- attack the common case
- if S becomes VERY large, speedup approaches  $\frac{1}{(1-f)}$

- interpretation for parallel systems:
  - P: section that can be parallelized
  - 1-P: serial section
  - N: number of CPUs
  - Speedup(P,N) =  $\frac{1}{\left(1-P+\frac{P}{N}\right)}$

## Principles to achieve Scalability ("RPC")

- identify and address bottlenecks
- partitioning
  - split systems into parts that can operate independently to a large extent
- replication
  - provide several copies of components
    - that are kept consistent eventually
    - that can be used in case of failure of copies
- locality (caching)
  - maintain a copy of information that is nearer, cheaper/faster to access than the original

## **Principles to achieve Scalability (2)**

- specialize functionality/interfaces
- right level of consistency
  - caches, replicates, ... need not always be fully consistent
- lazy information dissemination
- balance load

## **Some Challenges**

- balance load
  - keep load under reasonable threshold
    - at each component
    - in the communication subsystems
  - load balancing can be static or dynamic. Will study a detailed example for dynamic load balancing later(MosiX).
- minimize the delay induced by "RPC"
- prepare for change
- information dissemination
  - choose right degree of consistency

## Case study: DNS

some numbers of growth...

## Roles: Names, Identifiers, Addresses

- names
  - symbolic
  - have a meaning for people
- identifiers
  - identifies a component (uniquely)
  - are used by programs
- addresses
  - locates a component
  - can change

#### Name resolution

- name resolution:
  - map symbolic names to objects
  - better: to a set of attributes such as: identifiers, addresses, other names, security properties
- Principle interface:
  - Register (Name, attributes, ...)
  - Lookup (Name) -> attributes

#### Related

- compilers
  - statically map names to addresses
- dynamic libraries
  - dynamically remap addresses
- port mapper
  - map service to port

Name resolution is a form of dynamic mapping of pathnames to attributes.

#### **Observation**

Many services, tools, ... provide their own name resolution

- file systems (UNIX: path names to I-Nodes)
- login
- RPC (remote procedure call) systems (portmapper)

## **Purpose of Directory Services**

- integration of name services
- generic name service
- world-wide use of names

#### Today mostly used:

- email/web
- computer attributes (IP addresses)
- people attributes (certificates, ...)
- •

## **A Bit of History**

- UUCP/MMDF (cum grano salis):
  - ira!gmdzi!oldenburg!heinrich!user (path to destination)
  - user@ira!heinrich%gmdzi
     (mixing identifiers and path information)
- ARPA-Net:
  - a single file: hosts.txt
  - maintained at Network Information Center of SRI (Stanford)
  - accessed via ftp
  - TCP/IP in BSD Unix => chaos name collisions, consistency, load
- DNS: Paul Mockapetries (84) ...


## **More Terminology**


- name space
  - set of names recognized by a name service
- context
  - unit for which a name can be mapped directly
- aliases
  - several names for one object

## **More Terminology**

- naming domain
  - subtree in the hierarchy of DNS contexts
- zone
  - (aka Zone of authority) Subset of a domain over which an authority has complete control. Subzones (starting at apices of a zone) can be delegated to other authorities.
- navigation
  - querying in a set of cooperating name spaces

## **Basic Implementation Variants**






## **Requirements / Properties**

- arbitrarily large numbers
- arbitrary units of administration
- long living names, the higher in the hierarchy the longer
- high robustness
- restructuring of name spaces
- consistency
- efficiency

## **DNS Name Space (original)**



## **Examples**

inf.tu-dresden.de domain

os.inf.tu-dresden.de computer

heidelberg.ibm.com domain


ftp ftp.inf.tu-dresden.de

• DNS: → IP address: 141.76.2.3

ftp daemon: IP address, port 21

- properties:
  - location independent
  - not very deep


## Implementation Structure (BIND)



#### **Partitions: Zones**

- Zones:
  - administrative unit
- Name Server:
  - maps to names and addresses of name servers responsible for sub zones
  - maintains management data
  - process doing the name resolution for one zone
- Resource records (RR):
  - key interface

#### **Partitions: Zones**



Example taken from: Coulouris et al., Distributed Systems

## Replication

- currently 13 root name servers
- each zone has at least
  - one primary
  - one secondary

name server

## Caching

each name server caches resource records

time to live attribute

authoritative versus non-authoritative answers

#### **Resource Records**

Record type	Interpretation	Content
Α	address	IPv4 address
AAAA	address	IPv6 address
NS	Name server	DNS name
CNAME	Symbolic link	DNS name of canonicial name
SOA	Start of authority	Zone-specific properties
PTR	IP reverse pointer	DNS name
HINFO	Host info	Text description of host OS
		•••

#### **Reverse Resolution**

#### **Example**

IP-Address: 141.76.48.97

DNS-Name: 97.48.76.141.in-addr.arpa

## **Summary: Scalability and DNS**

- Good points:
  - replication and caching work well
  - over time, DNS scaled from small numbers to millions
- Bad Points:
  - IP addresses too small
  - no integrated systems security (!!!)

#### Literature

 Paul Albitz & Cricket Liu DNS and BIND O'Reilly & Associates, Inc.

Mark Hill, Michael Marty
 Amdahl's Law in the Multicore Era
 IEEE