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• Not in this lecture:
  • Lots and lots of Details
  • Language Runtimes
  • How to use Xen/KVM/…
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History

• Pioneered with IBM’s CP/CMS in ~1967 running on System/360 and System/370

• CP: Control Program (provided S/360 VMs)
  • Memory Protection between VMs
  • Preemptive scheduling

• CMS: Cambridge Monitor System (later Conversational Monitor System) – Single User OS

• At the time more flexible & efficient than time-sharing multi-user systems!
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• Gave rise to IBM’s VM line of OSs:
  • First release: 1972
  • Latest release: z/VM 6.4, Nov. 11th, 2016

• Applications:
  • Consolidation (improve server utilization)
  • Isolation (incompatibility or security reasons)
  • Reuse (legacy software)

• Development
  … but was confined to the mainframe-world for a long time!
Why?

Imagine you want to write an operating system, that is:

- Secure
- Trustworthy
- Small
- Fast
- Fancy

but, …
Why?

Users expect to run their favourite software („legacy“):

- Browsers
- Word
- iTunes
- Certified Business Applications
- Gaming (Windows/DirectX to DOS)

Porting/Rewriting is not an option!
Why?

„By virtualizing a commodity OS [...] we gain support for legacy applications, and devices we don’t want to write drivers for.“

„All this allows the research community to finally escape the straitjacket of POSIX or Windows compatibility [...]“

Roscoe, Elphinstone, and Heiser, 2007
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„being such in essence or effect though not formally recognized or admitted“
Merriam-Webster Dictionary

„[…] an efficient, isolated duplicate of the real machine.“
Popek & Goldberg, 1974

„All problems in computer science can be solved by another level of indirection.“
David Wheeler

(except too many levels of indirection …)
What is Virtualization?

Suppose you develop on your x86-based workstation running a system **Host**, a system **Guest** which is supposed to run on ARM-based phones.

An emulator for G running H precisely emulates G’s:

- CPU
- Memory (subsystem)
- I/O devices

Ideally, programs running on the emulated G exhibit the same behaviour, except for timing, as when run on a real system G.
What is Virtualization?

The emulator:

- interprets every instruction in software as it is executed,
- prevents G to access H’s resources directly,
- maps G’s devices onto H’s devices,
- may run multiple times on H.
What is Virtualization?

Emulation:

- Different Instruction Sets
- Different Hardware Devices

Emulation can be slow & complex, depending on fidelity.
What is Virtualization?

- What if H=G?
- Interpreting/Emulating every instruction unnecessary?
- Faster?
How?

- Guest runs as normal user process
How?

- Guest runs as normal user process

- It’s not just instructions! We need to *emulate* virtual hardware. The software providing the illusion of a real machine is the *Virtual Machine Monitor* (VMM)
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Suppose our ISA has an instruction, `OUT`, that writes to a device in kernel mode.

But we’re running (virtualized) in user space … ?

- **Just do nothing?** Otherwise, devices can’t be (easily) virtualized
- **Trap** to kernel mode
How?

VMM needs to handle:

- Address Space changes
- Device accesses
- System calls
- ...

These already *trap* to the host kernel (SIGSEGV).
How?

Easy, right?

• `push %cs` pushes CS register onto stack
How?

Easy, right?

- `push %cs` pushes CS register onto stack
- CS register contains current privilege level
How?

Easy, right?

- `push %cs` pushes CS register onto stack
- CS register contains current privilege level

→ Virtual Guest in Ring 3 can detect it is not in Ring 0!
How?

Easy, right?

- `push %cs` pushes CS register onto stack
- CS register contains current privilege level

➡ Virtual Guest in Ring 3 can detect it is not in Ring 0!

➡ Our VM is not a duplicate of a real machine, hence not a VM at all 😞
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... is a property of the ISA. Instructions are divided into two classes:

- Privileged Instructions
  - cause a trap in user mode
- Sensitive instructions
  - Behaviour depends on or changes the processor’s configuration or mode
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Virtualizability

An ISA is *virtualizable*, i.e. a VMM can be written, if all sensitive instructions are privileged.

- Execute guest in user/unprivileged mode
- Emulate instructions that cause traps (*Trap & Emulate*)

⇒ „Formal Requirements for Virtualizable Third-Generation Architectures“
Popek & Goldberg, 1974
http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=361073
Where to put the VMM?
Type-1 Hypervisor
Type-1 Hypervisor

- „Bare-metal“ Hypervisors
- No OS-Overhead
- Complete Control over Host resources
- High maintenance
- Examples: Xen, VMWare ESXi
Type-2 Hypervisor
Type-2 Hypervisor

- "Hosted" Hypervisor
- Doesn’t re-invent the wheel
- Performance tradeoff
- Requires Host-OS support for CPU’s virt features
- Examples: KVM, VMware Server/Workstation, VirtualBox, …
Alternative: Paravirtualisation

Too complicated? Just „port“ the Guest OS to the interface of your choice!

- Better performance
- Simplify VMM
- Maintenance
- Source of Guest OS required
- Tradeoff: Paravirtualized drivers for I/O performance (KVM virtio, VMware)

- Examples: Usermode Linux, Xen/XenoLinux, DragonFlyBSD, VKERNEL, L4Linux
Virtualized ABI!

Why deal with the Guest OS-kernel at all? Re-implement it’s interface!

- Example: Wine virtualises Windows ABI
- Run unmodified Windows binaries
- Windows API calls are mapped to Host-OS’s (Linux, MacOS, BSD, ...) equivalents
- Huge moving target / maintenance effort!
- API-Virtualization: Re-compile Windows applications from source; link against winelib
Recap: Virtualization

- Classification
  - Target? Hardware, OS ABI/API, ...
  - Modified Guest? Paravirtualization
  - Emulation/Virtualization: Interpret all Instructions?

- Popek & Goldberg: „A VM is an efficient, isolated duplicate of real machine“

- Hypervisors: Type 1 (bare-metal, kernel) & Type 2 (hosted, application on conventional OS)
Virtualizing x86

- x86 originally not virtualizable (push, pushf/poopf, … 17 instructions on the Pentium)

- Trapping is expensive!
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- x86 originally not virtualizable (push, pushf/popf, ... 17 instructions on the Pentium)

- Trapping is expensive!

- First commercial virtualisation solution for x86: VMware Workstation (~1999)
  
  - Translate problematic instructions to calls into the VMM on the fly (Binary re-writing)

  - Can avoid traps for privileged instructions

  - Performance good, but complex runtime translation engine; only common guests (commercially) supported.

- Examples: KQemu, VirtualBox, Valgrind
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- „Hardware-assisted Virtualization“

CPU virtualization:

- All guest instructions are virtualizable

- Processor provides virtual CPU mode, including kernel mode
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• “Hardware-assisted Virtualization“

• CPU virtualization:
  • All guest instructions are virtualizable
  • Processor provides virtual CPU mode, including kernel mode

• Memory Virtualization

• Typically, VMs have very few (if any) VM-exits for CPU/memory virtualization
Hardware Support

- Late P4 introduced hardware support in 2004: Intel VT (AMD-V similar)
- *root/non-root mode* duplicate x86 protection rings
- Root mode runs HV, non-root mode runs Guest
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• Late P4 introduced hardware support in 2004: Intel VT (AMD-V similar)

• *root/non-root mode* duplicate x86 protection rings

• Root mode runs HV, non-root mode runs Guest

• Everything Intel VT cannot handle traps to root mode

• Special memory regions (VMCS/VMCB) holds guest state

• Reduces Software complexity
Instruction Emulation

- Running 16-bit Code (BIOS/Boot loaders)
  - Not in AMD-V/latest Intel VT
- Handling memory-mapped I/O
  - Realized as non-present page
  - Page fault
  - Emulate offending instruction
- ...
MMU Virtualization

• Early versions of VT do not virtualise the MMU; VMM has to handle guest virtual memory!

• Four different types of addresses (Host/Guest x Physical/Virtual): hPA, hVA, gPA, gVA

• hVA -> hPA and gVA -> gPA mapped by page tables

• Mapping from Guest-Physical to Host-Virtual usually simple (identity or constant offset)
MMU Virtualization

- MMU not virtualized; can handle only one page table

- Hypervisor must maintain a page table, that
  - Maps from Guest Virtual to Host Physical ("merging" guest and host page table)
  - Must be adapted on VM layout changes
Memory Virtualization

guest virtual address

guest page table

guest physical address
Memory Virtualization

- Guest virtual address
- Guest page table
- Guest physical address
- Host virtual address
- Host page table
- Host physical address
Memory Virtualization

![Diagram of memory virtualization]

- Guest virtual address
  - Guest page table
    - Guest physical address
    - Host virtual address
      - Host page table
      - Host physical address
  - Shadow page table
Shadow Paging
Shadow Paging

- Update or re-creation on
  - Guest Page Table modification
  - Guest Address Space switch

→ Significant Overhead; certain workloads are penalised
→ Hardware Support!
MMU Virtualization

Intel Nehalem (EPT) and AMD Barcelona (Nested Paging) introduce hardware support for MMU virtualisation. The CPU can handle Guest and Host page table at the same time, which can reduce VM Exits by two orders of magnitude but introduces measurable constant overhead (<1%).
Recap: Address Translation
Guest Address Translation
2D Page Table Walk!
2D Page Table Walk!
2D Page Table Walk!
2D Page Table Walk!
# vTLB vs. Nested Paging

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Shadow Paging</th>
<th>Nested Paging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vTLB Fill</td>
<td>181,966,391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guest Page Fault</td>
<td>13,987,802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR Read/Write</td>
<td>3,000,321</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vTLB Flush</td>
<td>2,328,044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INVLPG</td>
<td>537,270</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware Interrupts</td>
<td>239,142</td>
<td>174,558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port I/O</td>
<td>723,274</td>
<td>610,589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory-Mapped I/O</td>
<td>75,151</td>
<td>76,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLT</td>
<td>4,027</td>
<td>3,738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interrupt Window</td>
<td>3,371</td>
<td>2,171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum</strong></td>
<td><strong>202,864,793</strong></td>
<td><strong>867,341</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Runtime (seconds)</strong></td>
<td>645</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exit/s</strong></td>
<td>314,519</td>
<td>1,845</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Steinberg and Kauer 2010
Arm

- Virtualisation Support since Cortex A15 (~2010)
- New processor mode „HYP“ (PL2/EL2) – different to x86
- Nested paging from the start
- No processor-defined state layout (VMCS/VMCB)
  - Hypervisor saves/restores all registers
- Interrupt Controller (GIC) and Generic Timer have built-in virtualisation support
Recap: Microkernels

- Small is beautiful: Small TCB; Security & Safety, Application-specific TCBs
- Real-time, Multi-server, Modular Frameworks, Fault containment
- L4Re: OS Framework
  - L4Re Microkernel
  - L4RE User-level infrastructure
  - … includes virtualisation
Apply Microkernel Principles to Virtualisation

„Hypervisor“ and „VMM“ do not need to be synonymous!
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„Hypervisor“ and „VMM“ do not need to be synonymous!

Hypervisor:

• Kernel-part
• Provides & ensures isolation
• Mechanism, no policy!

Virtual Machine Monitor:

• User space-part
• Platform & device emulation
• Design options!
VMM Design Options

- Typical: One VMM per VM (multi-VM VMMs possible)
- Application-specific: simple vs. feature-rich
- VMM is an **untrusted** user application
- Border between guest and VMM is not the only one

![Diagram showing L4Re Microkernel / Hypervisor and VM A and VM B with their respective guest OSes and VMMs]
L4Re: uvmm

- VMM for Arm, MIPS, and x86
- Small
- Uses virtio for Guests
- Mainly Linux as Guest OS, but other Guests on request
- Runs (unmodified) Arm Linux
L4Re: KVM/L4

- Complex and feature-rich VMM
- Uses L⁴Linux to run KVM + Qemu
- x86
- Runs Windows
- Used in production
Paravirt Example: L^4\text{Linux}

- Paravirtualized Linux on top of L4Re; presented at SOSP’97
- Regard „L4Re“ as new hardware platform and implement
  - Syscall interface (kernel entry, signal delivery, copy from/to userspace)
  - Hardware Access (CPU state/features, MMU, interrupts, MMIO & port I/O)
- Linux 4.19; x86 32 & 64; Arm 32, (64 in the pipeline), commercial use
Paravirt Example: $L^4$Linux
Paravirt Example: L⁴Linux

Diagram showing the architecture of L⁴Linux, with layers for Application, System-Call Interface, File Systems, Networking, Processes, Memory Management, and Hardware Access. The diagram also shows the user and kernel layers with modules such as sigma0, L4IO, Console, moe, Fiasco.OC, and Hardware.
Software Abstractions

• Interface between kernel/hypervisor and user-level/VMM

• Requirements:
  • Asynchronous execution model of OS kernels
  • Hardware-assisted + paravirtualization
  • Nicely integrate into system
vCPU

• „Legacy“ (synchronous) L4 Thread:
  • Executes \^ Waits for \{Events, Messages, IRQs\}
  \arrow{Hard to map OS kernel onto}

• vCPU:
  • Interruptible Thread
  • Similar to how a processor works: Executes \textbf{and} get interrupts

L4Re threads can become a vCPU!
vCPU Details

- vCPU is a thread; every thread can become a vCPU
- Interrupt-style execution
  - Events transition the execution to user-defined entry points
  - Virtual interrupt flag (Interrupts disabled == normal thread)
- Virtual User Mode
  - A vCPU can switch to a different L4 task (address space) for execution
  - Returns to „home task“/kernel for any received event
- State save area: Memory area to hold CPU & message state
**vCPU**

**FIGURE 3:** (a) L4Linux implemented with threads and (b) L4Linux implemented with vCPUs.
Paravirt: Challenges

- Fundamental problem: Mapping 3 logical levels of privilege (Linux App, Linux Kernel, L4Re Microkernel/Hypervisor) onto 2 levels the platform provides (User/Kernel mode)

- CPU: Run Linux Kernel + App in microkernel user land

- Memory: Linux kernel manages memory for Linux Apps
L4Linux: Performance

- 1997 publication reported <5% overhead
- Events need to be bounced through the micro kernel
  - Native: 2 privilege levels, 0 AS switches
  - L4Linux: 4 privilege levels, 2 AS switches

➡ Hardware-assisted Virtualization
Hardware-assisted Virtualisation

- Intel: VT-x, AMD: SVM, Arm: VE, MIPS: VZ
- Nicely integrates into vCPU abstraction
- Save state area (x86: VMCS/VMCB, Arm/MIPS: hypervisor-mode state & interrupt controller state)
- Memory: nested paging by L4::Task/L4::VM
Device Access

• Options: Exclusive vs Sharing
  • Exclusive: Pass-through
  • Sharing: Microkernel Service / Driver + Guest Interface (VirtIO)

• Pass through resources:
  • MMIO (direct mapping)
  • Interrupts via Microkernel/Hypervisor
  • Direct guest-delivered interrupts on some recent hardware
IOMMU

- Important hardware building block
- MMU for devices
- Indirection & Protection
  - Guest can use gPA (instead of hPA) to program DMA
  - Prevent DMA attacks by evil guests, evil devices, evil firmware, … Limit device accessibility to memory
- Programmed by assigning L4::Task to device
VirtIO

- Common standard for virtual devices
- Defines common data structures
- Wide range of Support (Linux, *BSD, Windows, QNX, …)
- Optimised for virtualisation setups, but can also be used for hardware devices
Research

- Research Path: Real-time, Security, HPC

- Realtime
  - Combining Realtime & non-realtime in a single system
  - Fully preemptive kernel, Realtime services + drivers

- Security: Capability System

- HPC: Scalability, OS-noise/Execution variability

- Decoupling: Use VMs to provide REE, while painting predictability for specific tasks from within those VMs

- Scheduling multiple VMs with realtime constraints
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VMM

- Instruction Emulator
- Timers: PIT, RTC, HPET, PMTimer
- Interrupt Controller: PIC, LAPIC, IOAPIC
- PCI host bridge
- keyboard, mouse, VGA
- Network
- SATA or IDE disk controller
- ...
VMM

VMM needs to emulate (parts of) BIOS/EFI (mostly for boot loaders + early platform discovery):

- Memory layout
- Screen output
- Keyboard
- Disk access
- ACPI tables