

Fakultät Informatik Institut für Systemarchitektur, Professur für Betriebssysteme

OPERATING-SYSTEM CONSTRUCTION

Material based on slides by Olaf Spinczyk, Universität Osnabrück

Scheduling

https://tud.de/inf/os/studium/vorlesungen/betriebssystembau

HORST SCHIRMEIER



Overview: Lectures

Structure of the "OO-StuBS" operating system:





Overview: Lectures

Structure of the "OO-StuBS" operating system:





Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch
- Scheduling
 - Basic Terms and Classification
 - in Windows (8–11)
 - in Linux
- Summary



Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch
- Scheduling
 - Basic Terms and Classification
 - in Windows (8–11)
 - in Linux
- Summary



Kernel-Level Threads: Motivation

- **Approach:** Run applications "unnoticeably" as independent threads
 - One OS coroutine per application
 - Application is activated by being called
 - Coroutine switch: indirect by system call

Advantages:

- Independent application development
- Central **scheduler** implementation
- An application waiting for I/O can be "blocked" by the OS and "awakened" later
- Additional **preemption mechanism** can prevent CPU monopolization



















Preemptive Thread Switch

- Forced CPU removal via timer interrupt
 - the interrupt is "just" an implicit call
 - handler routine can call resume



Careful: In general it does not work this way, because resume makes a scheduling decision. We need to apply interrupt
 2024 synchronization for the involved data structures!



Thread Switch in the Epilogue

- Implementation
 - Scheduler data (list of ready threads) reside on the epilogue level
 - All system functions that manipulate these data must acquire the epilogue lock before (enter/leave)
 - Create thread, terminate thread, voluntary thread switch, ...
- Basic rule for thread switches:
 - the **yielding thread** requests the lock
 (e.g. implicitly in interrupt handling)
 - the **activated thread** must release the lock
- Tips:
 - Never call **enter** from the epilogue (double request)
 - Basic rule (see above) also holds **for the first** thread activation(!)



Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch

Scheduling

- Basic Terms and Classification
- in Windows (8–11)
- in Linux
- Summary



Scheduling: Classification by ...

- **Resource type** of the scheduled hardware resource
- **Operation mode** of the controlled computer system
- **Point in time** when the schedule is determined
- **Determinism** of timing and duration of process runs
- **Cooperation behavior** of (user/system) programs
- **Computer architecture** of the system
- **Decision-making level** when scheduling resources



... by Resource Type

- **CPU scheduling** of the resource "CPU"
 - Process count at times higher than CPU count
 - CPU(s) must be multiplexed for several processes
 - Admission via waiting queue
- **I/O scheduling** of the resource "device", particularly "disk"
 - Device-specific scheduling of I/O jobs generated by processes
 - e.g., **disk scheduling** usually takes into account these factors:
 - (1) Positioning time, (2) rotation time, (3) transfer time
 - Device parameters and device state determine the next I/O operation
 - Scheduling decisions possibly not conforming to CPU scheduling



... by Mode of Operation

- **Batch scheduling** of interaction-less programs
 - non-preemptive scheduling (or preemptive scheduling with long time slices)
 - Context-switch count minimization
- Interactive scheduling of interactive programs
 - **Event-driven**, **preemptive** scheduling with short time slices
 - Partly response-time minimization by heuristics
- **Real-time scheduling** of time-critical programs
 - Event- or time-driven **deterministic** scheduling
 - Guarantee of keeping environment-specific deadlines
 - Focus: **Timeliness**, not performance



... by Point in Time

- **Online scheduling** dynamic, during actual program execution
 - Interactive and batch systems, but also soft real-time systems
- **Offline scheduling** static, before actual program execution
 - If **complexity** prohibits scheduling at runtime
 - Guarantee keeping all deadlines: NP-hard
 - Critical if we must react to any preventable catastrophic situation
 - Result: Complete schedule (in tabular form)
 - (Half) automatically generated via source-code analysis of a specialized "compiler"
 - Often executed by a time-triggered scheduler
 - Usually limited to hard real-time systems



... by Determinism

- Deterministic scheduling of known, exactly pre-computed processes
 - Process runtimes and deadlines are known, possibly calculated offline
 - Exact prediction of CPU load is possible
 - System guarantees and enforces process runtimes/deadlines
 - Time guarantees are valid regardless of system load
- **Probabilistic scheduling** of unknown processes
 - Process runtimes and deadlines are unknown
 - (Probable) CPU load can only be estimated
 - System cannot give and enforce time guarantees
 - Timing guarantees conditionally achievable by application mechanisms



... by Cooperation Behavior

- **Cooperative scheduling** of interdependent processes
 - Processes must **voluntarily yield the CPU** in favor of other processes
 - Program execution must (directly/indirectly) trigger system calls
 - System calls must (directly/indirectly) activate the scheduler
- **Preemptive scheduling** of independent processes
 - Processes are forcibly **deprived of the CPU** in favor of other processes
 - **Events** can trigger preemption of the running process
 - Event processing (directly/indirectly) activates the scheduler



... by Computer Architecture

Uni-processor scheduling

in multiprogramming/processing systems

- Process execution only pseudo parallel
- Multi-processor scheduling

in shared-memory systems

- Parallel process execution possible
 - Each processor processes its local ready list
 - All processors process one global ready list





Multiprocessor CPU Scheduling



- Automatic load balancing
 - No CPU runs empty
- Processes are not bound to particular CPUs
- Accesses to the READY list must be synchronized
 - Spinlock
 - Conflict probability grows with CPU count!



Multiprocessor CPU Scheduling



- Processes stay on one CPU
 - Better cache utilization
- Less synchronization costs
- CPU can drain (empty list)
 - Solution: On-demand load balancing (pull)
 - When a READY list is empty
 - By a load-balancer process (push)

Modern PC operating systems nowadays use **separate READY lists**.



... by Decision-Making Level

- Long-term scheduling controls the degree of [s min] multiprogramming
 - Admission for users and processes
 - Hand over processes to medium- and short-term scheduling
- Medium-term scheduling as part of swapping [ms s]
 - Move processes back and forth between RAM and disk
 - **swapping**: swap-out, swap-in
- **Short-term scheduling** schedules processes on the CPU(s)
 - Event-driven scheduling: Interrupts, system calls, signals [µs ms]
 - Blocking / preemption of the running process



Scheduling Criteria

- **Response Time** Minimizing the time from a system-service **request until the response**, while maximizing the number of interactive processes.
- **Turnaround Time** Minimizing the time between **process submission and completion**, i.e. the effective process runtime and all waiting times.
- **Timeliness** Start and/or termination of a process at **fixed points in time**.
- **Determinism** Deterministic execution of a process **regardless of the current system load**.
- **Throughput** Maximizing the number of completed processes **per predefined time unit**. A measure for the performed "work" in a system.
- **CPU Utilization** Maximizing the **percentage of time** the CPU executes processes, i.e. does useful work.
- **Fairness** Equal treatment of processes, and guarantee to schedule processes within certain time frames (no starvation).
- **Priority** Executing processes with the highest (statically/dynamically assigned) priority first.
- **Load Balancing** Uniform resource utilization, or prioritized execution of processes that rather seldomly allocate heavily utilized resources.



Scheduling Criteria

- Response Time
- Turnaround Time
- Timeliness
- Determinism
- Throughput
- CPU Utilization
- Fairness
- Priority
- Load Balancing





Operating Modes and Criteria

- in general
 - Fairness
 - Load balancing
- Batch systems
 - Throughput
 - Turnaround time
 - CPU utilization

Interactive systems

- **Response time** (Proportionality – Processing time corresponds to expectation)

• Real-time systems

- Priority
- Timeliness
- Determinism



Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch
- Scheduling
 - Basic Terms and Classification
 - in Windows (8–11)
 - in Linux
- Summary



Processes and Threads in Windows





Processes and Threads in Windows

- **Process:** Environment and address space for threads
 - A Win32 process always contains at least one thread
 - **Thread:** Code-executing entity
- Thread implementation by NT kernel
 - User-mode threads possible ("**fibers**"), but unusual
- Scheduler assigns processing time to threads



The Windows Scheduler

- Preemptive, priority-based scheduling:
 - High-priority thread preempts thread with lower priority
 - Regardless whether thread currently in user or kernel mode
 - Most functionality of the Executive ("kernel") implemented as threads, too
 - **Round-Robin** for threads with same priority
 - Round-robin assignment of one time slice ("Quantum")
- Thread priorities
 - 0 to 31, subdivided in three ranges
 - Variable Priorities: 1 to 15
 - *Real-time Priorities*: 16 to 31
 - Priority 0 is reserved for the Zero-Page Thread
 - Threads of the Executive maximally use priority 23



Time Slice (Quantum)

- Quantum is decreased
 - by 3 at every clock tick (every 15 ms)
 - by 1 if the thread voluntarily enters a waiting state
- Time-slice length: 20 180 ms

	short Quantum val (Desktop)			alues	long	long Quantum values (Server)			
	variable			fixed	V	fixed			
Thread in backgr. process		6		18	12			36	
Thread in G process	6	12	18	18	12	24	36	36	



Priority Classes, Relative Thread Priority

		Process Priority Class					
		Idle	Below Normal	Normal	Above Normal	High	Realtime
Relative Thread Priority		4	6	8	10	13	24
Time Critical	=15	15	15	15	15	15	31
Highest	+2	6	8	10	12	15	26
Above Normal	+1	5	7	9	11	14	25
Normal		4	6	8	10	13	24
Below Normal	-1	3	5	7	9	12	23
Lowest	-2	2	4	6	8	11	22
Idle	=1	1	1	1	1	1	16



Priorities: *Variable Priorities*

- Variable Priorities (1–15)
 - Scheduler strategies to prioritize "important" threads
 - **Quantum Stretching** (preference for the active GUI thread, cf. 2 slides back)
 - Dynamic **priority boost** for a few time slices at events
 - Progress guarantee
 - Every 3 to 4 seconds, up to 10 "disadvantaged" threads are raised to priority 15 for two time slices
 - Thread priority is calculated using this (simplified) formula:
 Process priority class + Thread priority + Boost



Priorities: *Realtime Priorities*

- **Realtime Priorities** (16–31)
 - Pure priority-based **Round-Robin**
 - No progress guarantee
 - No dynamic boost
 - Operating system itself can be negatively affected
 - Special user privilege necessary (SeIncreaseBasePriorityPrivilege)
 - Thread priority is calculated using this formula:

REALTIME_PRIORITY_CLASS + Thread priority



Dynamic Priority Boosts

• Dynamic Boosts

 The system dynamically raises thread priorities in specific situations (not for REALTIME_PRIORITY_CLASS)

 Mouse, keyboard input: Semaphore, Event, Mutex: Other events (network, pipe,) Event in the foreground application 	•	tput complete: +1
 Semaphore, Event, Mutex: Other events (network, pipe,) Event in the foreground application 	•	d input: +6
 Other events (network, pipe,) Event in the foreground application 	•	ent, Mutex: +1
• Event in the foreground application	•	etwork, pipe,) +2
	•	eground application +2

 Dynamic Boost gets "used up" (one level per Quantum)



Priority Change after a Boost





The Balance-Set Manager

• About every 3–4 seconds, up to 10 "disadvantaged" threads are raised to priority 15 for two time slices

📷 Sys	stemmonitor									- 🗆 ×
<u>D</u> atei	<u>B</u> earbeiten <u>A</u> nsi	icht <u>O</u> ptionen	2							
	DOD -	+ 🖻 🗙	6	8						
100				1	٦, ٣		1			
90	1 11 1]]						
80]]						
70			l l	1	ll –	l (
60			I.	Ϋ́	ľ	Į				
50		l I		ľ		.				
40										
30										
20										
10										
0 -			<u></u>				1			
Letzter	50,002 Du	urchschnitt	93,524	Min.	45,	993 Max.	10	10,035 Diagram	mzeit	50,000
Farbe	Faktor	Datenquelle	•	Ins	tanz	Übergea	rdnet	Objekt	Comp	uter
1,000 % Prozessorzeit		it	1 CPUSTRES		ES	Thread	\\DAN	IIEL		
	1,000	% Prozessorze	at	2		CPUSTRE	-5	Thread	\\DAN	
Werte: Aktuelle Aktivitat										

Progress guarantee!



Multiprocessor Scheduling

- Goal: "fair" Round-Robin at maximum throughput
- Problem: Cache effects
- Since Windows 8 / Windows Server 2012: "Ready queue" per priority level and CPU group (before: per CPU)
 - Groups aligned to SMT-set/multicore package/NUMA information
 - Ready summary: 32-bit bitmask to speed up finding the highestpriority non-empty queue
 - Guarantee: Each CPU group runs ≥1 highest-priority thread



Multiprocessor Scheduling

- Threads can be restricted with CPU affinity (mapping CPUs ⇔ thread)
 - hard_affinity: Fixed mapping
 → via SetThreadAffinity()
 - ideal_processor: "Ideal" mapping (NUMA: also ideal_node)
 → assigned at creation time ("random")
 → modifiable via SetThreadIdealProcessor()
 - soft_affinity: Previous CPU the thread ran on
 → internally managed by the scheduler
 - Point in time the thread ran last → internally managed by the scheduler

last_run:



Multiprocessor Scheduling

- Algorithm: CPU *n* calls KiSelectNextThread()
 - Use *ready summary* to pick highest-prioritized non-empty ready list of the CPU group this CPU belongs to
 - Pick head of this ready list
 - If ReadyQueue completely empty, activate *Idle Loop*
 - In *Idle-Loop*: Search ReadyQueue of other CPU groups (taking NUMA-node topology and other factors into account)
- more features:
 - Heterogeneous scheduling
 (Arm big.LITTLE, Intel Performance Hybrid Architecture)
 - Dynamic Fair Share Scheduling (DFSS)



Conclusion Windows

- "interactive, probabilistic, online, preemptive, multi-processor CPU scheduling"
- Priority model allows fine-grained CPU-time allocation
 - Dynamic modifications
 - User-mode threads with high real-time priorities take precedence over all system threads!
 - Threads in the Executive are generally preemptible
- Continuous SMP/NUMA improvements since Windows 2003
- Heuristics to accommodate interactive users



Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch
- Scheduling
 - Basic Terms and Classification
 - in Windows (8–11)
 - in Linux
- Summary



Linux Tasks ...

- are the Linux-Kernel abstraction for ...
 - UNIX processes: one thread in one address space
 - Linux Threads: special process that shares its virtual address space with at least one other thread
- are the activities considered by the scheduler
 - Up to Linux 2.6.23 (introduction of CFS, the Completely Fair Scheduler) a program with many threads received more computation time than a singlethreaded process
 - similarly a program with one process and many child processes



Linux' Modular Scheduler





Linux' Modular Scheduler



Processing



Processing

Linux' Modular Scheduler

Regular tasks: Completely Fair Scheduler (CFS)

- Geared to an idealized "multitasking processor"
 - Infinitesimally tiny time slices
 - Runtime of two same-priority tasks distributed equally
- Quantum is not derived directly from priority (**nice value**).
 - Parameters instead: Aspired to and minimal latency; task's relative weight; group or user affiliation



: L08 Scheduling

• Depending on task priority and number of ready tasks, virtual runtime passes with different speeds.



Multiprocessor Support

- Multiple READY lists
 - Parallel scheduler execution possible
- Support for CPU affinity
- Takes "warm" caches into account
- CPU load balancing
 - "push" by load-balancer process

→ spin-locking still necessary

- "pull" when a READY list runs empty



Conclusion Linux

- *"interactive, probabilistic, online, preemptive, multi-processor CPU scheduling"*
- Modular architecture
 - Arbitrary scheduler hierarchy possible
 - Support for soft real-time applications
- CFS focuses on fairness
 - Goal: Fair distribution of CPU-time **shares**
 - Fairness not guaranteed if very many processes are ready
 - Progress guarantee for all processes
 - No arbitrary heuristics
- CFS solves many problems of classic UNIX schedulers
 - CPU-time limits for users or groups
 - Provides semantics for *nice* values (+1 corresponds to CPU share * 1.25)
- Modern multiprocessor support



Agenda

- Kernel-Level Threads
 - Motivation
 - Cooperative Thread Switch
 - Preemptive Thread Switch
- Scheduling
 - Basic Terms and Classification
 - in Windows (8–11)
 - in Linux

• Summary



Summary

- Threads are operating-system coroutines
 - OS has a preemption mechanism
- Scheduling has profound impact on system performance.
 It determines ...
 - which process wait and which progress
 - which resources are utilized how much
- There exist many variants of schedulers
 - only little differences at mainstream PC/workstation OSs
 - large differences in other application domains